**Group Retrospective**

**Group 25**

Owen Park (Developer)

Aiden Smart (Developer)

Nicholas Kilta Bokas (Customer)

Eric Xu (Customer)

**Tutor:**

Mustafa Hussaini

**What we did well:**

Our group’s attendance to the tutorials was extremely high, the expectation was laid out at the start of the semester that it is expected that the group continuously come to the tutorials as a team. Everyone kept their word and the participation of the group in tutorial exercises was extremely high.

Following, the communication between our group and our tutor, Mustafa, was consistent and direct. If the group ran into an issue that couldn’t be resolved internally, guidance was sought from Mustafa. This communication channel was important as it enabled the continuation of development, instead of trying to resolve the problem internally causing our development schedule to be delayed.

**What we did not do well:**

As a group we collectively failed to continuously develop the project. Not all group members contributed to the projects development, or even took part in their development goals outlined at the beginning of Sprint 1. As a collective not enough importance was put on the necessary work that each individual team member had to undertake and complete to contribute their fair share of work to the project.

Resulting in numerous User Stories having to be pushed to the second release and frustration arising between team members. Frustration within groups is expected as Storming, a stage within Tuckerman’s stages of group development, explains that the roles and different work expectations within a group will cause conflict and is a necessary step before a group can begin truly performing.

**What will you do differently next time to improve the performance of the team?**

The group as a collective will actively set weekly development goals for each individual team member after every weekly team meeting. This way the project will have weekly stages of development and provides each team member with incentive to achieve their allocated work. It also provides time for issues to be discovered and ironed out with enough time to spare before insofar as to not impede the development schedule. More pressure will be applied to group members that are not actively participating or completing their weekly allocated development goals, without good reason.